

Avilla, IN 46710

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/662,559 09/15/2003 Todd A. Wolford SMI0097.US 9745 09/08/2005 **EXAMINER** Todd T. Taylor RAMANA, ANURADHA TAYLOR & AUST, P.C. PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 142 S. Main St. P.O. Box 560 3732

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/662,559	WOLFORD ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
	Anu Ramana	3732	
eriod fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING missions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the model patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	5 September 2003.	
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3)			
	closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte</i> Q <i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.0	O. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.	
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.		
7)[	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	. d/ ala akia u ana winama ant	
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	id/or election requirement.	
Applicat	tion Papers		
	The specification is objected to by the Exan		<b>7</b>
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 15 September 2003		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to		
11\[	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the color The oath or declaration is objected to by the		
		Examinor. Note the attacks	
•	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore point All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	n received in this National Stage
.*	application from the International Bu		A managing d
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	t received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/15/03.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other: \_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/662,559

Art Unit: 3732

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

Claims 1 and 8 are objected to because of the following informalities. In claim 1 (line 6) and claim 8 (line 6), it appears that "tooth" should be "edge" for clarity.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In line 2 of claims 5 and 12, it appears that "inwardly" should be "outwardly" to be consistent with Figure 4 wherein the cutting edge 22 of a tooth is shown extending outwardly from cutting face 18. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6, 8-11, 13, 15-16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Da Rold (US 5,968,049).

Da Rold discloses a milling cutter for medical purposes having a milling body 2 in the form of a hemisphere, for e.g. in the case of an acetabulum cutter; and a plurality of cutting teeth 10, wherein each tooth 10 has a cutting tongue or "edge" 13 at an angle Art Unit: 3732

about an axis through base end 14 and a clearance opening (Figures 3-6, col. 2, lines 48-67, col. 3 and col. 4, lines 1-27).

Page 3

Regarding claims 1-3, 6, 8-11 and 13, Da Rold discloses a method of mechanical production of a milling cutter including cutting a plurality of openings 10 in a milling body (in the form of a hemisphere in the case of an acetabulum cutter), wherein each opening defines a cutting tongue or "edge" 13 of a tooth and bending a cutting tongue or "edge" 13 of each tooth (col. 3, lines 13-29).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 7, 12, 14 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Da Rold (US 5,968,049).

Da Rold discloses the claimed invention except for the claimed shapes.

It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have constructed the milling cutter 2 with a concave-shaped cutting face and the clearance opening as a semi-circular opening, since applicant has not disclosed that these shapes solve any stated problem or are anything more than one of numerous shapes or configurations a person ordinary skill in the art would find obvious for the purpose of providing a cutting face of a cutter. In re Dailey and Eilers, 149 USPQ 47 (1966).

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3732

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anu Ramana whose telephone number is (571) 272-4718. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached at (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

September 5, 2005

madha Ramara

EDUARDO C. ROBERT PRIMARY EXAMINER